

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JOHNSON COUNTY, KANSAS
CIVIL COURT DEPARTMENT

POWER CONTROL DEVICES, INC.,)
et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
MICHAEL "MICK" W. LERNER, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No. 15CV05620
Division 7

VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, impaneled and sworn in the above entitled case, upon our oaths, do make the following answers to the questions propounded by the Court:

Breach of Contract Claim (Plaintiff PCD v. Orchid)

1. Do you find that Orchid Technologies Engineering & Consulting, Inc. breached its contract with Power Control Devices?

 ✓ YES NO

Proceed to Question 2 only if you answered "Yes" to Question 1. Proceed to Question 5 if you answered "No" to Question 1.

2. Do you find that the lawsuit filed by Plaintiff against Orchid would have resulted in an award of collectible damages in Plaintiff's favor?

 ✓ YES NO

Proceed to Question 3 only if you answered "Yes" to Questions 1 and 2. Proceed to Question 5 if you answered "No" to Question 2.

 CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
JOHNSON COUNTY, KS

2017 FEB -6 AM 9:01

2017 FEB 06 09:01 AM

Professional Negligence (Plaintiffs v. Defendants)

3. Do you find that the defendants were negligent in providing legal services to the plaintiff?

 L YES NO

Proceed to Question 4 only if you answered "Yes" to Questions 1, 2, and 3. Proceed to Question 5 if you answered "No" to Question 3.

4. If you answered "Yes" to Question 3, what damages, if any, do you find that Plaintiff suffered as a result of negligence of the defendants?

- | | | |
|----|--|----------------------|
| A. | Value of PCD's claim against Orchid: | \$ <u>58,977.50</u> |
| B. | Interest on PCD's claim against Orchid: | \$ <u> ϕ </u> |
| C. | Fees and expenses in the Orchid Litigation caused by defendants' negligence: | \$ <u>220,089.85</u> |
| | TOTAL DAMAGES | \$ <u>279,067.35</u> |

5. Agreement on each of the above questions was by ten or more jurors?

 L YES NO

Brandon Peper
Presiding Juror
Verdict form recreated

FORM NO. 300-0100-101-01

1
2 THE COURT: All right. Would the
3 bailiff, please, publish the verdict.

4 THE BAILIFF: In the matter of
5 Power Control Devices v. Michael "Mick" Lerner,
6 15CV5620. We, the jury, impaneled and sworn in the
7 above-entitled case, upon our oaths, do make the
8 following answers to the questions propounded by the
9 Court: Breach of contract claim, Plaintiff,
10 PCD, vs. Orchid. Question (1), Do you find that
11 Orchid Technologies Engineering & Consulting, Inc.,
12 breached its contract with Power Control Devices?
13 Yes. Do you find that the lawsuit filed by
14 plaintiff against Orchid would have settled in an
15 award --

16 THE COURT: Would have resulted.

17 THE BAILIFF: -- would have resulted,
18 sorry, in an award of collectible damages in
19 plaintiff's favor? Yes.

20 Professional negligence, Plaintiffs v.
21 Defendants. Do you find that the defendants were
22 negligent in providing legal services to the
23 plaintiff? Yes. If you answered "yes" to
24 Question (3), what damages, if any, do you find the
25 plaintiff suffered as a result of negligence of the

1 defendants? Value of PCD's claim against Orchid,
2 \$58,977.50. Interest on PCD's claim against Orchid,
3 zero. Fees and expenses in Orchid litigation caused
4 by defendants' negligence, \$220,089.85. Total
5 damages, \$279,067.35.

6 Agreement on each of the above questions
7 was by ten or more jurors? Yes. Presiding juror,
8 Brandon Pepper.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25