

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)
(Cite as: 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.))

H

[Briefs and Other Related Documents](#)

[Judges and Attorneys](#)

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court,
N.D. Georgia,
Atlanta Division.
Laura BUTTS, Plaintiff,
v.

TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP; United States
Surgical, A Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:06-CV-1377-RLV.

Jan. 7, 2008.

[Derric Crowther](#), Crowther Law Firm, [Solomon H. Ashby, Jr.](#), Crowther & Ashby, P.C., Atlanta, GA, for Plaintiff.

[Colin C. Theis](#), James E. Berger, [Michael L. Walden](#), [Patrick A. Hamilton](#), Shook Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P., Kansas City, MO, [Jennifer Lauren Nichols](#), [Thomas Eugene Brennan](#), Fain Major & Brennan, Atlanta, GA, for Defendants.

ORDER

[ROBERT L. VINING, JR.](#), Senior District Judge.

*1 Pending before the court are the plaintiff's Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery [Doc. No. 116] and the defendants' Motion for Protective Order [Doc. No. 118] and Motion for Oral Argument [Doc. No. 121]. At the heart of the pending motions is a dispute that previously has been addressed by this court and concerns the discoverability of certain information relating to the stapler that malfunctioned during the plaintiff's surgery.

The plaintiff seeks to compel responses to her dis-

covery requests and interrogatories on the 25mm stapler identified by Dr. Smith in his post-operative report. The defendants continue to object on the basis that the plaintiff's requests do not identify any specific stapler manufactured by the defendants. This dispute was fully addressed in this court's May 24, 2007, order in which the plaintiff's motions to compel were denied. In that order, this court noted that the plaintiff's discovery requests for information relating to the "# 25 EEA stapler" referenced in the post-operative report was an insufficient starting point for discovery into any particular stapler manufactured by the defendants because it did not establish the specific model of EEA stapler used or its manufacturer. [Doc. No. 70, 5.]

In her current motion to compel, the plaintiff contends that she has complied with the May 24 order and is therefore entitled to the defendants' responses because she has limited the scope of her request to the 25mm stapler identified in the post-operative report (rather than her earlier request for information on any stapler manufactured by the defendants with similar characteristics as the "# 25 EEA stapler" referenced in the report). However, that limitation still fails to establish the necessary starting point for discovery.

The plaintiff does not dispute the defendants' assertion that they do not manufacture any product identified as a "# 25 EEA stapler." Thus, while the post-operative report's reference is apparently general in nature, the plaintiff's discovery request nevertheless seeks to have the defendants specifically identify which of its products is the one to which that general reference applies.

However, such discovery is impermissibly overbroad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because it fails to identify the particular stapler used in the plaintiff's surgery. Just as this court concluded in the May 24 order that "the plaintiff has not sufficiently identified the particular product in such a manner that

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)
(Cite as: 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.))

does not place an undue burden on the defendants,” [Order, May 24, 2007, Doc. No. 70, 5.], the plaintiff has again failed to establish a suitable starting point for discovery because she insists on propounding discovery on a “25mm stapler” without specifically identifying a product that is manufactured by the defendants.

The only logical starting point for discovery in a product liability case based on the malfunction of a particular stapler is to identify a particular type of stapler manufactured by the defendants. *See Chudasama v. Mazda Motor Corp.*, 123 F.3d 1353, 1368 n. 37 (11th Cir.1997). Even if the court assumes that the defendants manufactured the stapler used in the plaintiff’s surgery, the broad parameters of Rule 26 nevertheless require the plaintiff to establish which of the defendants’ products is the 25mm stapler referred to in the post-operative report. Because she has not done so, the defendants are not obligated to respond to her discovery requests.

*2 The plaintiff’s attempt to limit her discovery to “the 25mm stapler identified by Dr. Daniel Smith, the surgeon at issue,” seeks to require the defendants, one of many possible product manufacturers, to determine which of their products, if any, the plaintiff’s surgeon used. However, such a limitation still fails to meet the plaintiff’s burden in this regard because, as this court concluded in the May 24 order, “[t]he manufacturer of a product cannot be held solely responsible for ascertaining whether a third party used its product in a suit against it. Consequently, ... it is outside the scope of discovery to require production of information and documents that the defendants cannot be expected to have.” [Order, May 24, 2007, Doc. No. 70, 8.] In order to trigger the defendants’ duty to respond to discovery requests in this action, the plaintiff must first identify which of their products is the one referenced by Dr. Smith in his post-operative report.

With respect to the defendants’ motion for protective order, that motion is due to be granted based on the same reasoning. Accordingly, the plaintiff is

precluded from conducting depositions as contemplated by her notices until such time as she sufficiently identifies which, if any, of the defendants’ staplers was utilized during her surgery.^{FN1}

FN1. To the extent that the defendants request protection from the plaintiff’s deposition notices because they allegedly seek information protected by the attorney-client privilege, this court makes no determination as to that issue at this time.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the plaintiff’s Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery [Doc. No. 116] is DENIED; the defendants’ Motion for Protective Order [Doc. No. 118] is GRANTED; and the defendants’ Motion for Oral Argument [Doc. No. 121] is DISMISSED as MOOT.

SO ORDERED.

N.D.Ga.,2008.

Butts v. Tyco Healthcare Group LP

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)

Briefs and Other Related Documents ([Back to top](#))

- [2008 WL 2599409](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Defendants' Reply In Support of Their Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment (May 22, 2008) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2008 WL 2599408](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Memorandum of Law In Support of Defendants' Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment (Apr. 17, 2008) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714213](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery (Nov. 14, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714211](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)
(Cite as: **2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)**)

and Affidavit) Emergency Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery to United States Surgical and Tyco Healthcare Group, LP and Citation to Authority (Nov. 1, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714212](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Defendants' Motion for Protective Order (Nov. 1, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714210](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment (Oct. 4, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714209](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Defendants' Supplemental Reply in Support of Their Motion to Stay Discovery Pending the Resolution of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, Withdrawing Their Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Response (Sep. 21, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714186](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Reply in Support of United States Surgical and Tyco Healthcare Group Lp's Motion for Protective Order (Aug. 13, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714187](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Stay Discovery Pending the Resolution of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Aug. 13, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714185](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Plaintiff's Response to Defendant United States Surgical and Tyco Health Care Group LP's Motion for Protective Order (Jul. 27, 2007) Original Image of this Document with Appendix (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714183](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Plaintiff's Reply to U.S. Surgical's and Tyco Healthcare Group's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents (May 20, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714184](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) U.S. Surgical and Thcg's Motion for

Protective Order (May 20, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714182](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) United States Surgical and Tyco Healthcare Group LP's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motions to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (May 8, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714205](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Tyco Healthcare Group Lp's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories (May 3, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714206](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Defendant U.S. Surgical's Reply to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (May 3, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714191](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714192](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, A Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714193](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group Lp and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714194](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, A Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)
(Cite as: 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.))

- [2007 WL 4714195](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group Lp and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714196](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714197](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714198](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714199](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714200](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007)
- [2007 WL 4714201](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group Lp and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714202](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group

LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714203](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714204](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714216](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714217](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714218](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714219](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
- [2007 WL 4714220](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of requests for Production of

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)
(Cite as: **2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)**)

Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714221](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 24, 2007)

- [2007 WL 4714214](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group, LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 16, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 4714215](#) (Trial Motion, Memorandum and Affidavit) Motion to Compel Answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant United States Surgical, A Division of Tyco Healthcare Group, LP and Citation to Authority (Apr. 16, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 6852501](#) (Trial Deposition and Discovery) Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Tyco Healthcare Group, LP (Apr. 16, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 6852503](#) (Trial Deposition and Discovery) Answers of Defendant United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Health Care Group LP to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Tyco Healthcare Group LP (Apr. 16, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 6852504](#) (Trial Deposition and Discovery) Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant United States Surgical (Apr. 16, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2007 WL 6852505](#) (Trial Deposition and Discovery) Answers of Defendant United States Surgical, a Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to United States Surgical (Apr. 16, 2007) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2006 WL 5243830](#) (Trial Filing) Joint Preliminary Report and Discovery Plan (Sep. 1, 2006) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

- [2006 WL 5243837](#) (Trial Pleading) Answer to Plaintiff's Recast Complaint (Jul. 11, 2006) Original Image of this Document (PDF)

Judges and Attorneys([Back to top](#))

[Judges](#) | [Attorneys](#)

Judges

- **Vining, Hon. Robert L. Jr.**

United States District Court, Northern Georgia
Georgia

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Judicial Motion Report](#) | [Judicial Reversal Report](#) | [Judicial Expert Challenge Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

Attorneys

Attorneys for Defendant

- **Brennan, Thomas E.**

Atlanta, Georgia

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

- **Hamilton, Patrick A.**

Kansas City, Missouri

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

- **Nichols, Jennifer Lauren**

Marietta, Georgia

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

- **Theis, Colin C.**

Kansas City, Missouri

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

- **Walden, Michael L.**

Kansas City, Missouri

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

- **Ashby, Solomon H. Jr.**

Atlanta, Georgia

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)
(Cite as: **2008 WL 80357 (N.D.Ga.)**)

• **Crowther, Derric**

Atlanta, Georgia

[Litigation History Report](#) | [Profiler](#)

END OF DOCUMENT